Interview Summary	Application	ation No. Applicant(s)				
	09/303,979	ļ	PEARCE, TONY M.			
	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Mark Easho	o, Ph.D.	1732			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Daniel McCarthy</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>22 May 2001</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2) applican	ıt's representativ	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21-32</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: that cited .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Ex. Eashoo called Mr. McCarthy regarding an Exr. Amdt. required to correct formal matters. Mr. McCarthy approved the amendment.						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
i)⊠ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a schecked).	eparate record	of the substance	e of the interview	(if box is		
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEV action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEV reverse side or on attached sheet.	V. (See MPEP E MONTH FRO	Section 713.04) M THIS INTERV	. If a reply to the IEW DATE TO I	e last Office FILE A		
,			•			
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an						
Attachment to a signed Office action.	I	Examiner's signa	ture, if required			

— 1 ,	Application I	No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	09/303,979	PEARCE, TONY M.		М.
	Examiner		Art Unit	_
	Mark Eashoo	, Ph.D.	1732	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	(3)			
(2) <u>Daniel McCarthy</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 08 May 2001.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant	2)∏ applican	t's representativ	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>21-32</u> .				•
Identification of prior art discussed: that cited .				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached.	g) was no	ot reached. h)[□ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Ex. Eashoo noted that a were needed. Mr. McCarthy said he would file the change	new abstract	and a brief desc	ription of drawin	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the am			
 i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a section checked). 	eparate record	of the substanc	e of the interviev	v(if box is
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FOR MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW reverse side or on attached sheet.	/. (See MPEP MONTH FRO	Section 713.04) M THIS INTER). If a reply to the VIEW DATE TO	e last Office FILE A
•				
			•	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's sign	ature, if required	